

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,602	05/08/2006	Steffen Hardt	FMW-CP-PCT-US	6981	
28862 7590 602282011 HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE, CO., L.P.A. 2020 FRONT STREET SUITE 307 CUYAHOGA FALLS. OH 44221			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DIETERLE, JENNIFER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1759			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/28/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/578 602 HARDT, STEFFEN Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit Jennifer Dieterle 1759 All Participants: Status of Application: Final (3) _____. (1) Jennifer Dieterle. (2) Daniel Hudak Jr.. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 17 February 2011 Time: afternoon Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Tyes No. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: n/a Claims discussed: all Prior art documents discussed: n/a Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. /Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1723

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative contacted the examiner in December 6, 2010 to discuss if the examiner had any suggestions as to possible amendments that would be this application closer to allowance. On February 17, 2011 examiner faxed proposed daim amendments to applicant's representative as follows:

Claim 1 (Rewritten): A method for separation of chemical substances and/or particles utilizing a microfluid chamber having intake channels and consisting of a plurality of parallel arranged adjoining liquid lamellae of two or more different phases having a thickness in the submillimeter range or smaller, comprising the steps of: o introducing liquid lamellae into said intake channels of said microfluid chamber, said microfluid chamber having a first electrode pair arranged thereon such that an electric field is generated parallel to the phase boundaries of the said liquid lamellae and a second electrode pair such that an electric field is generated parallel to the phase boundaries of said liquid lamellae; and

o flowing said liquid lamellae through said microfluid chamber while the electric field is applied to said first and second electrode pairs.

5-11, 21 and 22 (Cancelled).